

| | |
|----------|---|
| Title | Blasted Feminism: Group X, Wyndham Lewis, and Women |
| Type | Article |
| URL | https://ualresearchonline.arts.ac.uk/id/eprint/15121/ |
| Date | 2016 |
| Citation | Eden, Michael (2016) Blastad Feminism: Group X, Wyndham Lewis, and Women. Trebuchet Magazine. |
| Creators | Eden, Michael |

Usage Guidelines

Please refer to usage guidelines at <http://ualresearchonline.arts.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact ualresearchonline@arts.ac.uk.

License: Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial No Derivatives

Unless otherwise stated, copyright owned by the author

DOWNLOAD MAGAZINES



05: Art and Crime

PRINT MAGAZINES



04: The Body

SUBSCRIPTIONS



03: Art and Resistance

ART EVENTS

| Mo | Tu | We | Th | Fr | Sa | Su |
|----|----|----------|----|----|----|----|
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | |
| « | < | Nov 2019 | > | » | | |

UPCOMING

Ithell Colquhoun: Surrealism, Occultism & Sexuality
Watch This Space
A Celebration of Talk Talk and Mark Hollis
Bang Face Rave Weekend

Blasted Feminism: Group X, Wyndham Lewis, and Women

NOT ONE TO COURT POPULIST ACCLAIM, WYNDHAM LEWIS LOOKED UPON FEMINISM WITH A MIXTURE OF ADMIRATION AND SCORN

07/12/2016 Michael Eden > Art



Wyndham Lewis attempted to reignite the flame of Vorticism with Group X in 1920¹ but lacking direction and co-operation from all participants it never got off the ground.

Lewis commented:

But what could be done with an X? Art at the cross-roads? X marks our goal? No. X refused to co-operate. Group X set out, but got nowhere. X marked our beginning and our end.

– Wyndham Lewis and the Cultures of Modernity edited by Dr Nathan Waddell, Ms Alice Reeve-Tucker.

It's not simply a matter of dispensing with Lewis and Marinetti, they both offer a great deal in terms of the work they championed and in the work they produced. It is Lewis however, with his aggression and potency as both a writer and a painter, who offers an interesting model for modern artists. Lewis was unsentimental, delighting in upsetting his contemporaries and provoking his audience. Sentimentality, as Oscar Wilde observed in *De Profundis*, is a state where "One... desires to have the luxury of an emotion without paying for it."

He (Lewis) was also intelligent and creative. He wanted to be serious and subjected his contemporaries to criticism, the missed opportunity of a closer and more collaborative relationship with people like Rebecca West and H.G. Wells is unfortunate, they might have improved each another.

There are tantalising hints that an appetite for just such a blending of extremes might be written into the very text of *'Blast' The illustrated Manifesto*. Featured in 'Blast' is the statement: 'We discharge ourselves on both sides... first on one then on the other'. It seems this potential was never fully realised, the respect and friendship of characters like West and Mitchison, along with ambiguous statements, is as far as it goes.

This is as much actual contradiction Lewis can contain within himself. When Lewis encountered Marxist ideas, even those diluted in the welfare state, he was openly disdainful and saw Marxism as a 'sham'. Lewis writes critically of left leaning politics in 'The Art of Being Ruled'.

Lewis had a similar relationship with feminism it seems. Wherever he detected resentment he was disdainful, which means that despite his support of female artists and his friendships with left-leaning activists, he is often remembered as the man who said things like:

If you do not regard feminism with an uplifting sense of the gloriousness of woman's industrial destiny, or in the way, in short, that it is prescribed, by the rules of the political publicist, that you should, that will be interpreted by your opponents as an attack on woman.

– Wyndham Lewis, 'Family and Feminism', 1926

While feminism does contain contradictions and inconsistencies which have become more pronounced over the last fifty years², and despite activists and friends of Lewis saying things like: "I myself have never been able to find out precisely what feminism is: I only know that people call me a feminist whenever I express sentiments that differentiate me from a doormat" (Rebecca West, 'Mr. Chesterton in Hysterics' 1913) Lewis writing in 1926 must have seemed deeply unsupportive to the wider public's (woman's) struggle with sexism. His disdain for the public and the ways they transferred ideas into practical action, his disapproval of revolution as something 'dull', and his use of the family as a symbol to beat the feminists with was divisive, knowing that this strategy would garner support for his criticisms with the sort of traditionalists (mainly men) he otherwise repudiated!

Lewis has, however, a shockingly modern voice:

With a new familiarity and a flesh-creeping "homeliness" entirely of this unreal, materialistic world... all "sentiment" is coarsely manufactured and advertised in colossal sickly captions, disguised for the sweet tooth of a monstrous baby called "the Public," the family as it is, broken up on all hands by the agency of feminist and economic propaganda, reconstitutes itself in the image of the state.

– Wyndham Lewis.

Up until the last two clauses Lewis is correct isn't he? He could be talking about our own society with its schizoid projection of images: adverts, charity appeals, dramas, comedy, the news, all smashed into the face of a person watching an hour of television in the 21st century. Each component assumes a false equivalence, appeals for money to save dying children one moment, the next an advertisement for coffee!

Achieving nothing and propagating apathy or, worse, glib sentiments, the fact that Lewis directs the blame for this to feminism is a limitation of his time and reaction, rather than good explanation of the problems he describes.

Read previous essays in this series: [Part One](#) | [Part Two](#) | [Part Three](#)

¹A group of British artists formed in 1920 that showed their works at the Mansard Gallery, at Heal & Sons, London, between 26 March and 24 April of that year. The core of the group was made up of the former Vorticists Wyndham Lewis, Jessica Dismorr, Frederick Etchells, Cuthbert Hamilton, William Roberts and Edward Wadsworth. They were joined by Frank Dobson, Charles Ginner and McKnight Kauffer. The exhibits in the main were characterized essentially by a propensity to angular figuration not far removed from other experimental styles of the period. Group X was arguably an attempt by Wyndham Lewis to revive Vorticism, but this failed. – Note from the Tate archive

²I am thinking here of the difficulty traditional feminism has had incorporating other cultures whose traditions are offended by the implication that they might be sexist. Also the difficulty encountered when traditionally exploitative roles such as prostitute or stripper are declared empowering for certain woman who have become wealthy and independent of men as a result. These ideas can be traced in looking at 'post' feminism.



Futurism, Vorticism and the Presence of H.G. Wells (Part Two)
27/06/2016
In "Society"



Science & Modern Man, the right (and wrong) way to take Wyndham Lewis.
12/12/2016
In "Art"



Friends as Enemies and Enemies as Friends... (Wyndham Lewis)
21/11/2016
In "Art"



About Michael Eden > 77 Articles

Michael Eden is the Arts Editor for Trebuchet Magazine, an artist and researcher working in London and the south east, his artistic practice is concentrated on painting and he divides his time between this and lecturing in art history and contextual studies.



« PREVIOUS
Synchronous Oscillations: Are You Actually Awake?

NEXT »
WHAT Does it Say About Me?! Should Fiction Depict Real People?



BE THE FIRST TO COMMENT

Leave a Reply

Your email address will not be published.

Comment

Name *

Email *

Website

☐ Save my name, email, and website in this browser for the next time I comment.

☐ + four = eight

☐ Notify me of follow-up comments by email.

☐ Notify me of new posts by email.

POST COMMENT

This site uses Akismet to reduce spam. [Learn how your comment data is processed.](#)

NEW CYCLES IN ART

Technical Innovation
0 19/11/2019

Awaken Imagined Still Lives
0 18/11/2019

No Heroic Male Master
0 12/11/2019

Jane Bustin's V – Tea Bowls (and Other Things) in Paris
0 31/10/2019

Cyril de Commarque Interview
0 26/10/2019


Modern Panic Celebrates 10th Anniversary
0 25/10/2019

Artificialia at the Saatchi Gallery
0 24/10/2019

Mark Leckey: O' Magic Power of Bleakness
0 17/10/2019

Joker, a Film to Fall Out Over?
0 10/10/2019

1-54 African Contemporary Arts Fair 2019
0 09/10/2019



THE TREBUCHET

Trebuchet Magazine: New fine art for contemporary collectors and abundant creatives

TREBUCHET NEWSLETTER SIGN UP

email address

SUBSCRIBE

MEDIA AND PUBLISHING



TAGS

ACTIVISM AFRICA AMERICA ART
BANDS DANCE DIY ELECTRONICA
ENVIRONMENT EXHIBITIONS FESTIVALS
FILM FOLK FOOD GALLERY GIGS
HEALTH INDIE INTERVIEWS
LITERATURE LIVE MAKE BETTER MUSIC
MENTAL HEALTH METAL MUSIC
NATURE PAINTING PHOTOGRAPHY
POINTY HEADS POLITICS PROG
PSYCHOLOGY PUNK RESEARCH ROCK
SCIENCE SEX SOCIETY SONGWRITING
STUPID HUMANS TECHNOLOGY TRAVEL
UK USA WORK